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Linda Mullen, Georgia Southern University
Jim Randall, Georgia Southern University
Raleigh Way, Georgia Southern University
Jerry Wilson, Georgia Southern University
Hemant Patwardhan, Winthrop University

Saturday, March 31, 2007
9:00 AM to 10:15
Sago Palm 2

Session 7:2 Special Session
Session Chair: Linda Mullen, Georgia Southern University

Potpourri II
Session Chair: Linda J. Warren, Capella University

Charitable Fundraising in the New Millennium: Choices, Challenges and Change
Lydia McKinley-Floyd, Florida A&M University
Nanda Shrestha, Florida A&M University
Mark Gillespie, Americalist Fundraising Services Group

Marketing Graduates’ Changing Perceptions of Technology in Business School Curricula: A Case Report
Stephen T. Barnett, Stetson University
Fred Augustine, Stetson University
Ted Surynt, Stetson University

Practical Application of Revised SELEB Scale to Measure the Differences in Students’
Jane S. Reid, Youngstown State University
Mark F. Toncar, Youngstown State University
Cynthia E. Anderson, Youngstown State University

Saturday, March 31, 2007
10:30 AM to 11:45
Sago Palm 1

Session 8:1 Marketing Management II
Session Chair: Marie-Hélène Abbo, ESC PAU
Session 8:2 Demographics

Session Chair: Rosalind Paige, Lander University

An Alternative Perspective on Gendered Consumption: Today’s “Working Fathers” Are Juggling, Too
Jason D Oliver, University of Rhode Island

Attributional Style and Consumer Attitudes: The Case of Attitudes Toward Consumer Free-Riding Activity
David Burns, Xavier University

Shopping Preferences of Hispanic Consumers: The Effect of Acculturation
David Burns, Xavier University
Chris Manolis, Xavier University
Mary Conway Doto-on, Northern Kentucky University

CONGRATULATIONS TO THE AUTHORS OF THE BEST PAPERS IN TRACK

Marketing Education/The Dynamic Business Environment
Differences in Marketing and Management Professors’ Criteria for Textbook Adoptions: A Pilot Study
Robert Stevens, Southeastern Oklahoma State University
Kenneth E. Clow, University of Louisiana Monroe
C. William McConkey, University of Louisiana Monroe
C.W. Von Bergen, Southeastern Oklahoma State University

Marketing Management/Strategy/Branding
Brand Image Enhancement Through Alliances
Mike Musante, Quinnipiac University

Marketing Research/Demographics/Consumer Behavior
Understanding Consumer Viewpoint on Customer Labor: An Illustration from Traditional Self-Service and Emerging Technology-Based Self-Service Settings in Airline Industry
Ismet Anitsal, Tennessee Tech University
M. Meral Anitsal, Tennessee Tech University

Promotion/Retailing/Sales/Sales Management
The Impact of Social Presence on Consumer Attitudes toward Self-Service Technologies
Brian R. Kinard, Georgia Southern University
Michael L. Capella, Villanova University
Matthew L. Williams, Georgia Southern University

Service Marketing/Nonprofit Marketing/Ethics
E-Services For The Hospitality And Tourism Industries
Ron Goldsmith, Florida State University
Stephen W. Litvin, College of Charleston
SPECIAL THANKS TO THE SPONSORS OF THE ASSOCIATION OF MARKETING AND THEORY AND PRACTICE 2007 CONFERENCE

BLOOMSBURG UNIVERSITY
COASTAL CAROLINA UNIVERSITY
KENNESAW STATE UNIVERSITY
THE UNIVERSITY OF MONTANA BUSINESS SCHOOL
YOUNGSTOWN STATE UNIVERSITY

See you next year in Savannah, Georgia!
We will meet at the Marriott Savannah Riverfront

Watch our website for further information.
http://www.amtp.org
Differences in Marketing and Management Professors’ Criteria for Textbook Adoptions: A Pilot Study

Robert E. Stevens  
Southeastern Oklahoma State University

Kenneth E. Clow  
University of Louisiana Monroe

C. William McConkey  
University of Louisiana Monroe

C. W. Von Bergen  
Southeastern Oklahoma State University

ABSTRACT

Textbooks are an integral component of the higher education process. This pilot study examined the differences in marketing and management faculty’s ratings of factors influencing the textbook selection process as well as various marketing techniques used by publishers to encourage book adoption. Overall results indicate that content, ancillary materials, and textbook costs are the primary drivers of adoption for both marketing and management faculty. Examination copies, contact by book representatives, and direct mail flyers were the best methods of encouraging these faculty to examine new textbooks.

INTRODUCTION

Textbooks are an important resource critical to student learning. Their importance is indicated by estimates that they are responsible for 75 to 90 percent of the content that students learn on a given subject (Stein, Stuen, Carnine, and Long 2001; Young and Riegeluth 1988). Textbooks also provide faculty with an important teaching tool and frequently determine whether a particular course will be offered (Lowry and Moser 1995). As such, textbook adoption procedures affect all aspects of the teaching-learning process and are an integral part of higher education ranging from supplemental use by some instructors to the unitary instructional forum for correspondence courses. Because of a textbook’s integral role in the instructional process, most college professors spend considerable time in selecting appropriate texts for their classes. While anecdotal evidence provides information as to the selection criteria used, very little empirical research has been found in the area of textbook adoption, especially by management and marketing professors (Redei, 1984; Smith and DeRidder 1997; Stein, Stuen, Carnine, and Long 2001). Thus this research was undertaken to provide comprehensive survey data on factors impacting the textbook selection process.

In addition to examining the textbook adoption process for management and marketing faculty we
also examined the effectiveness of various marketing strategies publishers and sales representatives use to promote textbooks to these professors. Textbook publishing is a highly competitive industry with publishers vying for adoption commitments from professors. College textbook net sales in for the first quarter of 2005 totaled $174.4 million (U.S. College Textbook 2005). Publishers invest considerable marketing dollars to encourage professors to examine and adopt their particular textbooks. Not only have marketing budgets increased, but also publishers continually look for more effective means of promoting their texts. Understanding the criteria and methodologies used by professors in the textbook adoption process would be valuable to publishers and could be an impetus to reduce the current competitive rivalry. Wiser use of marketing and sales dollars could result in reduced costs and/or more effective textbook promotions.

The only published study that examines the process for textbook adoption was in 1997 by Smith and DeRidder. The study examined the general criteria of textbook selection and publisher incentives in the adoption process of accounting textbooks. While information is pertinent to textbook adoption in general, it does not address the criteria used by management and marketing professors. Smith and DeRidder found that the top five criteria used in textbook selection by professors were 1) comprehensibility to students, 2) timelessness of text material, 3) compatibility between the text and homework problems, 4) exposition of quality of text, and 5) solutions manual. Other relevant criteria included the computerized test bank (ranked 8th), the cost to students (ranked 9th), instructor’s teaching guide (rank 12th), and computerized practice set (rank 13th).

Because very little empirical research has examined the criteria for textbook selection and the promotional methods used by college textbook publishers, a pilot study was conducted as an initial step in understanding this process. By matching selection criteria and promotional methodologies of textbook publishers to college professors’ adoption processes, the effectiveness of both will be enhanced.

**METHOD**

A sample of 2,110 marketing professors and 4,357 management professors were selected from universities throughout the United States using Hasselback directories of marketing (Hasselback, 1998) and the websites of universities and colleges throughout the United States. One hundred five-two surveys were returned by management professors and marketing professors returned 810 questionnaires. The total sample comprised 662 individuals, 204 from management and 458 from marketing.

Demographic comparisons of the two sub-samples indicated a high degree of similarity between the management and marketing groups. The overall sample demographics are listed in Table 1.

The survey consisted of 15 items addressing the topic of textbook adoption and promotional activities and 5 demographic-related questions. The first part of the survey used a 5-point scale ranging from 1 (very unimportant) to 5 (very important) to measure the importance of selection criteria such as content, cost, and ancillary materials (e.g., electronic test bank and PowerPoint slides) in the selection of textbooks. A 5-point scale was also used to ask about the influence of the reputation of the textbook author(s) and the importance of personal acquaintance with the author(s) on the adoption process. Because of the importance of ancillary materials and the investment by
publishers in developing such products, a separate question dealt with their importance and the relative importance of these items in electronic and/or online course formats. Additional questions addressed why professors switch textbooks and how long they continue with a textbook before switching.

The next section of the questionnaire dealt with the promotion of textbooks, both from the professor’s selection viewpoint and the publisher’s promotion viewpoint. Again, a 5-point scale ranging from 1 (very unimportant) to 5 (very important) was used to evaluate various methods such as e-mail, direct mail, and telephone which professors use to learn about new texts. Survey participants also were asked questions regarding approaches book representatives used to contact them, how often they are contacted, and how often they would like to be contacted. The last part of the questionnaire examined various methods publishers use to promote new textbooks, such as direct mail, e-mail, telephone, and personal visits from book salespersons.

The study was conducted using an Internet-based survey methodology. Birnbaum (2004) reviewed the literature on web-based questionnaire protocols and found encouraging evidence for the quality and usefulness of data collected over the Internet and WWW. The subjects were sent an e-mail explaining the purpose of the study and a link to click on if they wished to participate. Data were collected for two weeks after the e-mails were sent. Pre-testing indicated that approximately 15 minutes were required to complete the survey. There were no follow-up e-mails to encourage participation in the study.

RESULTS

Of the 2,110 e-mails sent to management faculty, 152 were returned as undeliverable and 204 responded yielding a response rate of 10.4%. Of the 4357 e-mails sent to marketing faculty, 810 were returned as undeliverable, and 458 responded for a 12.9% response rate. These response rates were deemed satisfactory for data collection using the Internet-based protocols (Birnbaum, 2004).

To facilitate the interpretation of the results the data are presented within two broad categories: 1) faculty considerations surrounding the adoption of new textbooks; and 2) promotional strategies of publishers and their sales representatives.

The first part of the study investigated factors important to management and marketing faculty in the textbook selection process including decision making criteria, the value of reputation and acquaintance with textbook authors, book ancillaries (e.g., PowerPoint slides), the adoption of a first edition book, the reasons for and frequency of switching textbooks, and the effectiveness of various approaches designed to inform faculty of new textbooks.

Marketing and management faculty were asked to indicate how important (using the 5-point scale previously indicated) the following criteria were in adopting a textbook. The mean importance scores for management (Mngt) and marketing (Mkt) faculty are provided: 1) content of text, M (Mngt) = 4.88; M (Mkt) = 4.84, 2) ancillary materials, M (Mngt) = 3.78; M (Mkt) = 3.83, 3) cost of text, M (Mngt) = 3.72; M (Mkt) = 3.71, 4) length of text, M (Mngt) = 3.61; M (Mkt) = 3.47, 5) edition of text, M (Mngt) = 3.54; M (Mkt) = 3.52, 6) text authors, M (Mngt) = 3.09; M (Mkt) = 3.04, 7) online and hard copy of text, M (Mngt) = 2.64; M (Mkt) = 2.55, 8) online text only, M
(Mngt) = 2.03; M (Mkt) = 1.91), and 9) advertising of text, M (Mngt) = 1.95; M (Mkt) = 1.87). T-tests indicated that there were no significant differences between the evaluations for any of these criteria for management and management faculty. Nevertheless, it can be seen that text content and ancillary materials were rated higher by both faculty than were text online materials and text advertising. It may be that online teaching, while increasing in popularity, it is still a rather new phenomenon and not frequently employed by faculty.

The item discussing the importance of the reputation and acquaintance with the author suggested by the mean value that the reputation of the author was more important than acquaintance with the author for both management (M (reputation) = 3.17 vs. M (acquaintance) = 1.98, respectively) and marketing faculty (M (reputation) = 3.11 vs. M (acquaintance) = 2.13, respectively) yet there were no statistical differences between management and marketing faculty on either reputation of author or acquaintance with author.

Next we examined the various ancillary materials and how important each was in the text selection process. The results are listed in Table 2 and indicate that there were significant differences between the two faculty groups in the rating of these items. Management faculty rated the availability of cases as significantly (p < .01) more important (M = 3.87) than marketing faculty (M = 3.52). Similarly, management faculty rated CDs for students as significantly (p < .01) more important (M = 2.64) than did marketing faculty (M = 2.38). Overall, the marketing and management faculty rated the various ancillaries similarly with cases, instructor’s manual on CD, PowerPoint slides, and videos being rated relatively higher than materials supporting online courses.

Further editions of texts (e.g., 2nd, 3rd) indicate that books are accepted by faculty and thus may suggest that there is a reluctance to adopt first edition books. This was explored in the survey and results showed that there were no differences between management and marketing professors in their concerns about adopting first edition texts with both indicating that about 57% of them had no reservations.

Instructors frequently change textbooks and reasons for switching were explored. Faculty were asked to indicate their reasons for adopting a new text. In order of importance both faculty groups indicated that they switched text for the following reasons: content of new text, new textbook better suited to instructor’s teaching style, unhappiness with current text, new edition of current text coming out and instructor wants to change, better ancillary materials with new text, dissatisfaction with ancillary materials, and unhappiness with publisher.

With many textbooks on a 3-year cycle, it is not surprising that the majority of the both groups indicated that they switch every 3 years (management faculty = 44%; marketing faculty = 38%). The second most popular time in switching text occurs every two years (management faculty = 22%; marketing faculty = 26%). Thus, about two-thirds of both faculty disciplines can be said to switch texts every 2-3 years with no significant difference between the two.

The questionnaire also examined how professors learn of new texts and these data are listed in Table 3. Marketing professors rated examination copies of textbooks as the number one approach that they learned about new textbooks, followed by contact by the book salesperson, and direct mail announcements as the third most important factor in learning about new texts. Similarly,
management professors rated examination copies of textbooks as the number one approach that they learned about new textbooks followed by contact by a book salesperson. However, management professors rated booth displays at conferences as the third most important way they learned about new books. There were significant differences between the two subgroups in how they learned about new textbooks in their field. Marketing professors tended to rate examination copies (M = 4.75 vs. M = 4.60, t( ) = 2.46, p < .01), contact by book representatives (M = 3.80 vs. M = 3.45, t( ) = 2.46, p < .01), and direct mail (M = 3.42 vs. M = 3.15, t( ) = 2.46, p < .01) more highly than did management professors. E-mails, advertising in media, and telephone messages were rated lowest by both groups of respondents.

The second major part of the investigation examined the nature of the relationships and contacts between faculty and book publishers and their textbook representatives. Communication methods with book representatives and frequency of contact desired with them, the desirability of direct mail promotions from publishers, effectiveness of promotions that encourage textbook examinations as well as use of the publisher’s web site in selecting textbooks were examined.

Management and marketing professors were asked what types of communication from book representatives was desirable. Results indicated that the most desirable communication method by both groups was personal visits. The second most desirable method was e-mail, and the least desirable method of communication was the telephone. Interestingly, marketing professors preferred personal visits (M = 3.90) to a significantly greater degree (p < .01) than did management professors (M = 3.45).

Closely related to the method of communication is frequency of contact by book representatives. Both respondent groups indicated that their book representative usually contacts them once a semester and that this was also the most desirable number of contacts. When comparing the current level of contact to the desired level of contact, it appears that some publishers may be contacting professors more frequently than faculty desire. With respect to direct mail promotions from publishers, it appeared that both management and marketing faculty preferred advertising a specific book rather than multiple book promotions, however there were no significant differences between the two groups with respect to this issue.

An additional analysis examined seven types of textbook marketing techniques designed to encourage instructors to review new texts. Less desirable forms of promotional contacts were telephone contacts (least desirable), magazine promotions, publisher’s website, and e-mails promoting new textbooks. The top three promotional strategies were (in order) providing examination copies to faculty, personal contacts by book representatives, and direct mail advertising literature. It is interesting that marketing faculty evaluated these three strategies as significantly higher (p < .001) than management faculty.

Even though faculty ranked visits to the publisher’s web site as number five out of the seven strategies listed to encourage them to review new texts they nevertheless frequented such sites. Approximately half (51.3%) of the management faculty and about a third (37.7%) of the marketing faculty said they always access the publisher’s website when reviewing a new textbook while 36.4% of the management faculty and 45.7% of the marketing faculty indicated that occasionally they access the publisher’s website and 12.3% and 17.6%, respectively, never do. Interestingly,
marketing professors significantly consulted the publisher’s web page more frequently than management professors ($M = 1.81$ vs. $1.69$; ($p < .05$).

**DISCUSSION**

Because textbooks greatly influence the content of a course and are the primary teaching tool of instructors, how they are selected is an important research and practical issue. This pilot study provides valuable information in terms of how marketing and management professors adopt textbooks and how they view promotional methods being used by textbook publishers. Clearly, the most effective method of encouraging faculty to adopt a new text is to get the new book into their hands so they can examine the book. Because content is extremely important, a professor needs to examine the text to determine what is presented in the book and how it is presented.

Textbook publishers have been criticized for adding unused ancillaries to textbooks to drive the price up. One survey of university faculty indicated 65% rarely or never use the extra materials being provided (University Press 2004). However, this study confirms the importance of ancillary materials in the adoption process. Textbook publishers are correct in placing a heavy emphasis on ancillaries. But what may be misdirected is the type and quantity of ancillaries. This study would indicate faculty desire high quality basic ancillaries, such as basic PowerPoint slides, electronic test banks, and instructor manuals on CD. Rather than adding additional ancillary materials, publishers may gain more favor with faculty by enhancing the current ancillaries. Thus, adding 100 more questions per chapter to an electronic test bank may be more advantageous than adding extra online material that can be utilized in the course. Certainly, future studies need to be conducted in the area of ancillaries to determine the thoughts of professors on what is desired and how much. Issues of quality also need to be examined.

When professors do switch textbooks, it is almost always due to more desirable content of a new book or dissatisfaction with the content of the current text. Faculty report less adoption of new texts because they are dissatisfied with the ancillary materials or changing to a new book because it has better ancillary materials. Additionally, the most likely time for switching is when their current text is entering a new edition. Thus, timing is critical. Examination copies received at the right time enhances the probability of adoption, while copies received at other times is likely to be set aside.

Professors prefer being contacted in person, once a semester. Of course, the most logical time is just prior to when the textbook order for the next semester is due. If an examination copy of the text can be given at that time, the adoption probability is enhanced. If a personal visit is not possible, then an e-mail is acceptable. A telephone call is not desired. Clearly, professors do not like to be contacted by telephone by a book representative.

Direct mail promotions can be an effective promotional method, if the piece discusses only one textbook. The promotional flyers or magazines that describe multiple books are not nearly as effective in encouraging professors to consider a new book. Direct mail is an effective means of learning about new books after examination copies and contact by the book salesperson. Indeed, over 50% of the respondents in this study indicated it was a desirable method. It is more effective than booth displays at conferences. While participants at a conference can examine books at a booth, not all conferences are attended by publishers and not all faculty members attend
conferences. Thus, learning about new books at a conference may not be an effective approach.

The last important issue found in this study is the cost of the text for students. Because of increased costs for textbooks and tuition, students have complained (Marklein 2005). In many localities, the local media has echoed this sentiment, as well as parents. University faculty appear to have heard the message. As indicated earlier, the cost of the text for students was ranked as the 3rd most important criteria by both management and marketing faculty. These figures are considerably higher than those reported by Smith and DeRidder (1997) for accounting professors. The mean importance of costs for both management and marketing faculty suggests that the cost of the text for students has increased in importance and may indicate that professors today are concerned about the increasing costs of student textbooks and have heard student concerns. Alternatively, it may simply suggest that textbook costs are more important (ranked 3 out of 9) to management and marketing faculty than accounting professors who ranked costs number 9 out of 13.

Textbook publishers need to take notice. Instead of adding more costs through additional ancillary materials, perhaps the companies need to look for means of reducing the costs of what is currently on the market. If not, it is highly possible that new upstart companies like Atomic Dog may capture a larger percentage of the textbook market. Atomic Dog is a new publisher that produces online and accompanying paperback copies of a text for considerably lower price than mainstream publishers (Carlson 2005). As more faculty members empathize with students, more will look for ways to reduce their student’s textbook costs.

The questionnaire did not pursue what type of cases or in which courses cases are desired. Certainly, future studies will want to address this issue. Also, it may be very informative to compare business faculty textbook adoption considerations with faculty in other disciplines. One of the primary purposes of a pilot study is to produce information that should be explored in greater depth.
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Tables and Figures

Table 1
Sample Characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographic Variable</th>
<th>Classification</th>
<th>Management</th>
<th>Marketing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Teaching experience</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than 5 years</td>
<td>14.2%</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-10 years</td>
<td>18.6%</td>
<td>16.6%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-15 years</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
<td>15.9%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16-20 years</td>
<td>15.2%</td>
<td>15.3%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than 20 years</td>
<td>35.3%</td>
<td>46.3%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current rank</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjunct or instructor</td>
<td>14.5%</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assistant professor</td>
<td>28.5%</td>
<td>19.3%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Associate professor</td>
<td>22.3%</td>
<td>32.5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full professor</td>
<td>34.7%</td>
<td>43.7%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Institution’s enrollment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-4,999</td>
<td>30.1%</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5,000 to 9,999</td>
<td>28.1%</td>
<td>22.8%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10,000 to 14,999</td>
<td>13.8%</td>
<td>15.4%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15,000 to 19,999</td>
<td>7.9%</td>
<td>15.8%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20,000 to 24,999</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
<td>12.3%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25,000 or more</td>
<td>14.3%</td>
<td>17.1%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2
Importance of Various Ancillaries to Adopt a Textbook

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Management</th>
<th>Marketing</th>
<th>T-Value</th>
<th>Significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cases</td>
<td>3.87</td>
<td>3.52</td>
<td>3.536</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instructor’s Manual on CD</td>
<td>3.47</td>
<td>3.36</td>
<td>0.959</td>
<td>.338</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basic PPT slides</td>
<td>3.38</td>
<td>3.59</td>
<td>1.861</td>
<td>.063</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Videos</td>
<td>3.33</td>
<td>3.43</td>
<td>0.682</td>
<td>.496</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hard copy of Instructor’s Manual</td>
<td>3.32</td>
<td>3.14</td>
<td>1.514</td>
<td>.131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electronic test bank</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>3.43</td>
<td>1.775</td>
<td>.076</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Video-enhanced PPT</td>
<td>3.03</td>
<td>3.11</td>
<td>0.725</td>
<td>.469</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hard copy of test bank</td>
<td>2.73</td>
<td>2.80</td>
<td>0.603</td>
<td>.547</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Online class material</td>
<td>2.73</td>
<td>2.57</td>
<td>1.427</td>
<td>.154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD for students</td>
<td>2.64</td>
<td>2.38</td>
<td>2.556</td>
<td>.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Online student quizzes</td>
<td>2.49</td>
<td>2.33</td>
<td>1.499</td>
<td>.134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Online testing</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>1.99</td>
<td>1.588</td>
<td>.113</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 3
Learning about New Textbook

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Management</th>
<th>Marketing</th>
<th>T-Value</th>
<th>Significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Examination copy</td>
<td>4.60</td>
<td>4.75</td>
<td>2.462</td>
<td>.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact by book rep</td>
<td>3.45</td>
<td>3.80</td>
<td>3.677</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct mail</td>
<td>3.15</td>
<td>3.42</td>
<td>2.588</td>
<td>.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Booth displays (conference)</td>
<td>3.32</td>
<td>3.14</td>
<td>1.744</td>
<td>.082</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Publisher’s website</td>
<td>3.22</td>
<td>3.11</td>
<td>0.994</td>
<td>.321</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-mail</td>
<td>2.95</td>
<td>3.05</td>
<td>0.874</td>
<td>.382</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advertising in media</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>0.640</td>
<td>.522</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telephone</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>1.498</td>
<td>.117</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>